

ROOT NUMBERS OF ELLIPTIC CURVES IN RESIDUE CHARACTERISTIC 2

TIM[†] AND VLADIMIR DOKCHITSER

ABSTRACT. To determine the global root number of an elliptic curve defined over a number field, one needs to understand all the local root numbers. These have been classified except at places above 2, and in this paper we attempt to complete the classification. At places above 2, we express the local root numbers in terms of norm residue symbols (resp. root numbers of explicit 1-dimensional characters) in case when wild inertia acts through a cyclic (resp. quaternionic) quotient.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let E be an elliptic curve over a number field F . The Hasse-Weil L -function $L(E/F, s)$ conjecturally satisfies a functional equation under $s \leftrightarrow 2 - s$ with the sign given by the global root number $w(E/F) = \pm 1$. Consequently, $w(E/F)$ determines the parity of $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E/F, s)$ and, assuming the Birch-Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture, the parity of the Mordell-Weil rank of E over F . This, together with the fact that $w(E/F)$ is defined independently of any conjectures, makes it an important invariant.

The global root number is a product of local root numbers,

$$w(E/F) = \prod_v w(E/F_v)$$

with $w(E/F_v)$ determined by the action of the local Galois group on the l -adic Tate module $T_l(E)$ for any $l \nmid v$. To use this formula, one needs all the local root numbers. Their classification has been completed by Rohrlich [9] apart from places $v|6$ where E has additive potentially good reduction, and by Kobayashi [5] in residue characteristic 3. For $F_v = \mathbb{Q}_2, \mathbb{Q}_3$ all possibilities have been tabulated by Halberstadt [4].

In this paper we consider the remaining case $v|2$ where E has potentially good reduction. It is not hard to extend the methods of [9, 5] when wild inertia acts through a cyclic quotient on $T_l(E)$ (this is always true in odd residue characteristic). One then gets a formula for the local root number $w(E/F_v)$ in terms of norm residue symbols (Proposition 4).

The remaining “hard” case is when wild inertia acts through the quaternion group Q_8 . In this case we express $w(E/F_v)$ in terms of local root numbers of explicit primitive 1-dimensional characters of cyclic extensions of degree 2, 4 and 8 (Theorem 7). Although not as satisfactory as in the other cases, this does allow one to determine $w(E/F_v)$ and hence the global root number $w(E/F)$ for any given elliptic curve over a number field. (It has now been implemented by the first author (T.) in Magma.)

Date: November 29, 2006.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11G07; Secondary 11F80.

[†]Royal Society University Research Fellow.

The second author (V.) would like to thank the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in Bonn where some of this research has been carried out. We would also like to thank Mark Watkins and Steve Donnelly for pointing out that D. Whitehouse [13] has obtained similar results independently.

2. NOTATION

Throughout the paper K is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_2$, and E/K is an elliptic curve with additive, potentially good reduction. For any $l \neq 2$ inertia acts on the Tate module $T_l(E)$ through a finite quotient. By [11] Cor. 2b, E has good reduction over $K(E[l])$, equivalently the action of inertia factors through $\text{Gal}(K(E[l])/K)$.

We will take $l = 3$. Let $L = K(E[3])$ be the field obtained by adjoining the coordinates of the 3-torsion points of E , set $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$ and write $I \triangleleft G$ for its inertia subgroup. The action of G on $E[3]$ gives an inclusion $G \subset \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{F}_3)$, under which $I \subset \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{F}_3)$ from the properties of the Weil pairing.

Denote the isomorphism classes of subgroups of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{F}_3)$ by C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4, C_6 (cyclic), Q_8 (quaternion) and SL_{24} , and the remaining ones in $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{F}_3)$ by $C_2 \times C_2, C_8, D_6, D_8$ (dihedral), $B_{12} (\cong D_{12})$ (Borel), H_{16} (2-Sylow) and GL_{48} .

We fix an embedding $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Write $\zeta_8 = \exp(2\pi i/8) \in \mathbb{C}$, $\sqrt{2}$ for the positive square root of 2, and $\sqrt{-2} = i\sqrt{2} = \zeta_8 + \zeta_8^3$.

Here is a summary of our main notation:

K	finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_2$
E/K	elliptic curve with additive potentially good reduction
V	$= T_3(E/K) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_3} \mathbb{C}$
L	$= K(E[3])$
G	$= \text{Gal}(L/K) \subset \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{F}_3)$
I	the inertia subgroup of G
$n(E)$	valuation of the conductor of E/K
Δ, c_4, c_6	standard invariants of some fixed Weierstrass model of E/K
ψ	$= \exp(2\pi i \text{tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}_2}(\cdot))$, an additive character $K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$
$n(\psi)$	$= v(\mathfrak{D}(K/\mathbb{Q}_2))$, the largest j for which $\text{tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}_2}(\pi_K^{-j} \mathcal{O}_K) \subset \mathbb{Z}_2$
$\epsilon(\cdot)$	local ϵ -factor with respect to ψ and a fixed Haar measure $d\mu$
$w(\cdot)$	$= \epsilon(\cdot)/ \epsilon(\cdot) $, the local root number (independent of $d\mu$)
$f_{K/\mathbb{Q}_2}$	residue degree
$\mu_3 \subset \bar{K}$	the set of 3rd roots of unity
Frob_K	arithmetic Frobenius of K
$\mathbf{1}$	trivial representation
$(x, K'/K)$	$= \pm 1$, the norm residue symbol; for K'/K abelian and $x \in K^*$ with $x^2 \in N_{K'/K} K'$ this is 1 iff $x \in N_{K'/K} K'$

Finally, let $\eta : \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ be the character that maps the inertia subgroup to 1 (so η is unramified) and the arithmetic Frobenius Frob_K to $(\sqrt{-2})^{f_{K/\mathbb{Q}_2}}$. The reason for this definition is the following lemma:

Lemma 1. *Suppose G is non-abelian. Then the action of $\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ on $V_\eta = V \otimes \eta^{-1}$ factors through G , and G acts faithfully on V_η . Moreover,*

$$w(E/K) = (-i)^{f_{K/\mathbb{Q}_2}(n(E)+2n(\psi))} w(V_\eta).$$

Proof. To begin with, V is an irreducible $\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ -module (G is non-abelian), and the action factors through $\text{Gal}(L^{un}/K)$. As Frob_L is central in this group, it acts on V as a scalar matrix λId . From the properties of the Weil pairing, $\det(\text{Frob}_L) = 2^{f_{L/\mathbb{Q}_2}}$, so $\lambda = \pm 2^{f_{L/\mathbb{Q}_2}/2}$. Since Frob_L acts trivially on $E[3]$, $\lambda \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ so $\lambda = (-2)^{f_{L/\mathbb{Q}_2}/2}$. As η is unramified,

$$\eta(\text{Frob}_L) = \eta(\text{Frob}_K)^{f_{L/K}} = (\sqrt{-2})^{f_{L/\mathbb{Q}_2}} = \lambda,$$

so $\text{Gal}(L^{un}/L)$ acts trivially on $V \otimes \eta^{-1}$, and the representation factors through $\text{Gal}(L/K) = G$. As G acts faithfully on $E[3]$, it follows that I acts faithfully on V , and hence on V_η . So the kernel of the action of G on V_η is a normal subgroup of G which meets I trivially. From the fact that G/I is cyclic and the classification of non-abelian subgroups of $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{F}_3)$, one sees that this kernel is 1.

Finally, by the formula for the ϵ -factor of a twist by an unramified representation ([12] (3.4.6)),

$$\epsilon(E/K) = \epsilon(V_\eta \otimes \eta) = \epsilon(V_\eta)^{\dim \eta} \cdot \det(\text{Frob}_K^{-1} | \eta)^{n(E)+2n(\psi)}$$

Now $\dim \eta = 1$ and $\eta(\text{Frob}_K^{-1}) = (\frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}})^{f_{K/\mathbb{Q}_2}}$. □

3. THE STRUCTURE OF $\text{Gal}(K(E[3])/K)$

We start by classifying the possibilities for $G = \text{Gal}(K(E[3])/K)$ in terms of standard invariants of E/K .

Proposition 2. *Depending on whether $\mu_3 \subset K$, whether $\Delta^{1/3} \in K$, and on the degrees of the irreducible factors of $\gamma(x) = x^8 - 6c_4x^4 - 8c_6x^2 - 3c_4^2 = \prod_i \gamma_i$ over K , the group $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$ is given by*

$\mu_3 \subset K$				$\mu_3 \not\subset K$			
$\Delta \in K^{*3}$		$\Delta \notin K^{*3}$		$\Delta \in K^{*3}$		$\Delta \notin K^{*3}$	
$(\deg \gamma_i)_i$	G	$(\deg \gamma_i)_i$	G	$(\deg \gamma_i)_i$	G	$(\deg \gamma_i)_i$	G
(2, 2, 2, 2)	C_2	(1, 1, 3, 3)	C_3	(2, 2, 4)	$C_2 \times C_2$	(1, 1, 6)	D_6
(4, 4)	C_4	(2, 6)	C_6	(4, 4)	D_8	(2, 3, 3)	D_6
(8)	Q_8	(8)	SL_{24}	(8)	C_8 or H_{16}	(2, 6)	B_{12}
						(8)	GL_{48}

Proof. From the non-degeneracy of the Weil pairing on $E[3]$ it follows that $G \subset \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{F}_3)$ iff $\mu_3 \subset K$. Also $\Delta^{1/3} \in L$, and $\Delta^{1/3} \in K$ iff $3 \nmid |G|$ (look at the resolvent cubic of the 3-torsion polynomial, or see [7]).

A nonzero 3-torsion point $P = (x_3, y_3) \in E(\bar{K})[3]$ is an inflection point on E and the slope κ of the tangent line to P lies in $K(x_3, y_3)$. Conversely, it is easy to see that $x_3, y_3 \in K(\kappa)$, and that 2κ is a root of $\gamma(x)$ (see e.g. [2], proof of Thm. 1 with $a = -c_4/48$ and $b = -c_6/864$). It follows that L

is the splitting field of $\gamma(x)$ over K and the action of G on its roots is the same as that on $E[3] \setminus \{O\}$.

Now the statement follows by inspection of the possible subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{F}_3)$ and lengths of their orbits under their natural action on $\mathbb{F}_3^2$. $\square$

There is one case ($G = C_8$ or $G = H_{16}$), when the proposition does not determine G uniquely. To deal with it, we have

Lemma 3. *Assume that $x^3 - 12^3\Delta$ has exactly one root δ in K and that $\gamma(x)$ is irreducible. Then the following conditions are equivalent*

- (1) $G = C_8$,
- (2) $\gamma(x)$ is reducible over $K(\mu_3)$,
- (3) The numbers $-3(c_4 - \delta)$ and $-3(c_4^2 + c_4\delta + \delta^2)$ are squares in K (if $c_6 \neq 0$, the two conditions are equivalent).

Proof. We have $\mu_3 \notin K$ and $\Delta^{1/3} \in K$, so $G \in \{C_8, H_{16}\}$ by Proposition 2. The group $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K(\mu_3))$ is C_4 for $G = C_8$ and Q_8 for $G = H_{16}$, so the equivalence (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2) follows from Proposition 2 over $K(\mu_3)$.

The equivalence (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3) follows from the classification of Kraus ([7], Thm. 3). $\square$

4. ABELIAN INERTIA

The case of abelian inertia is essentially due to Rohrlich, and the arguments below are also used by Kobayashi in residue characteristic 3. (Note the misprints 2ξ instead of ξ in Prop. 5.2, and $a/2 + v(2)$ instead of $a/2$ in Prop. 6.1 of [5].)

Proposition 4. *Assume that G is either abelian, dihedral, or L/K is not totally ramified and $G = Q_8$. Then*

- (a) *The inertia subgroup I is cyclic.*
- (b) *If G is abelian, then $w(E/K) = (-1, L/K)$.*
- (c) *If G is non-abelian, write the unique quadratic unramified extension of K as $K(\xi)$ with $\xi^2 \in \mathcal{O}_K^*$. Then $w(E/K) = (-1)^{n(E)/2}(\xi, L/K(\xi))$.*

Proof. (a) This follows from the fact that $I \subset \mathrm{SL}_{24}$ and the classification of subgroups of SL_{24} .

(b) Since G is abelian, the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ on V factors through an abelian quotient. As V is semisimple, it must decompose as $\chi \oplus \chi^{-1} \parallel \cdot \parallel_K$, with $\parallel \cdot \parallel_K$ the cyclotomic character. In this case, determinant formula ([8], p.145) implies $w(E/K) = \chi(-1) = (-1, L/K)$.

(c) Here G is either dihedral (D_6 , D_8 or B_{12}) or Q_8 and I must be cyclic of index 2 in G , since these groups have no cyclic quotients of higher order and $I \subset \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{F}_3)$ so $I \neq G$.

By Lemma 1, $V_\eta = V \otimes \eta^{-1}$ is the 2-dimensional faithful irreducible representation of G . Denote by ν the quadratic unramified character of G ,

and by ϕ a primitive character of I . Then $V_\eta = \text{Ind } \phi$ and, by inductivity in degree 0,

$$w(\phi) = \frac{w(\phi)}{w(\mathbf{1}_{K(\xi)})} = \frac{w(V_\eta)}{w(\mathbf{1}_K)w(\nu)} = \frac{w(V_\eta)}{\nu(\text{Frob}_K^{-1})^{n(\psi)}} = (-1)^{n(\psi)} w(V_\eta).$$

So, by Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} w(E/K) &= (-i)^{f_{K/\mathbb{Q}_2}(n(E)+2n(\psi))} (-1)^{n(\psi)} w(\phi) \\ &= (-1)^{(f_{K/\mathbb{Q}_2}+1)n(\psi)} (-1)^{f_{K/\mathbb{Q}_2} n(E)/2} w(\phi). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose G is dihedral. Since $G \not\subset \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{F}_3)$, the residue degree $f_{K/\mathbb{Q}_2}$ is odd. By a theorem of Fröhlich-Queyrut ([3], Lemma 1 and Thm. 3),

$$w(\phi) = \phi(\theta_{K(\xi)}(\xi)) = (\xi, L/K(\xi)),$$

where $\theta_{K(\xi)}$ is the local reciprocity map on $K(\xi)^*$. Combining these formulae, $w(E/K) = (-1)^{n(E)/2} (\xi, L/K(\xi))$.

Finally, if $G = Q_8$, then $\mu_3 \subset K$, and $w(V_\eta) = w(V)$. Moreover, the theorem of Fröhlich-Queyrut does not apply directly, since $(\phi \circ \theta_{K(\xi)})|_{K^*} = \nu \circ \theta_K$, which is non-trivial. In this case, we twist ϕ by an unramified quadratic character of $K(\xi)^*$, and a computation as in [10] proof of Prop. 2 (esp. p.130) yields the result in this case. $\square$

Remark 5. In some cases, this result can be further simplified. For $G = C_3$, $w(E/K) = 1$, for $G = D_6$, $w(E/K) = -1$, and for $G = B_{12}$, $w(E/K) = (-1, K(\kappa)/K)$ with κ a root of a the quadratic factor of $\gamma(x)$ as in Proposition 2 (see [1], proof of Lemma 10).

5. NON-ABELIAN INERTIA

From now on, suppose that we are in one of the cases not covered by Proposition 4, so $G = H_{16}, \text{SL}_{24}, \text{GL}_{48}$ or $G = I = Q_8$. Since $I \subset \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{F}_3)$ and G/I is cyclic, it follows that $Q_8 \subset I$, in particular I is non-abelian.

Lemma 6. *Assuming $Q_8 \subset I$, the extension L/K is built up from the following subfields (we choose the roots once and for all):*

$$\begin{array}{l} L = K_4(\mu_3) \\ | \\ K_4 = K_3(y_3), \quad y_3 = \sqrt{x_3^3/3 - c_4x - 2c_6/3}, \quad x_3 = \sqrt{A} + \sqrt{C} \\ 2 | \\ K_3 = K_2(\sqrt{C}), \quad C = 2c_4 + 12\Delta^{1/3} + 2\sqrt{B} \\ 2 | \\ K_2 = K_1(\sqrt{A}, \sqrt{B}), \quad A = c_4 - 12\Delta^{1/3}, B = c_4^2 + 12c_4\Delta^{1/3} + (12\Delta^{1/3})^2 \\ 2 | \quad AB = c_6^2 \\ K_1 = K(\Delta^{1/3}) \\ | \\ K \end{array}$$

The extensions K_4/K_3 , K_3/K_2 and K_2/K_1 are ramified quadratic, K_1/K is either trivial ($3 \nmid \#G$), unramified ($3 \mid v(\Delta)$) or tamely ramified ($3 \nmid v(\Delta)$), and L/K_4 is either trivial ($\mu_3 \subset K$) or unramified quadratic ($\mu_3 \not\subset K$).

Proof. Essentially everything here is due to Kraus [7], Thm. 3. He defines A, B and C , and exhibits their relation to the coordinates of the 3-torsion points ([7], pp. 371, 373). Since L/K_4 and K_1/K cannot contribute to the 2-part of I , it follows that K_4/K_3 , K_3/K_2 and K_2/K_1 are ramified, in consistence with [7], Thm. 3. $\square$

Theorem 7. *Assume that $Q_8 \subset I$, and keep the notation of Lemma 6. Set $M = K_1(\sqrt{-3A}, \sqrt{-3B})$. Then*

$$w(E/K) = (-i)^{f_{K/\mathbb{Q}_2}(n(E)+2n(\psi))} \cdot w_{L/M} \cdot \begin{cases} w_{K(x_3, y_3)/K(x_3)}^{-1}, & I = \mathrm{SL}_{24} \\ w_{M/K_1}, & G \neq \mathrm{GL}_{48} \end{cases}$$

Here $w_{K(x_3, y_3)/K(x_3)}$ and w_{M/K_1} denote the root numbers of the non-trivial character of the corresponding ramified quadratic extensions, and $w_{L/M}$ is the root number of a character χ of the cyclic extension L/M with the property that $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathrm{Gal}(L/M)}^{\mathrm{Gal}(L/K)} \chi$ contains a copy of V_η . If $\mu_3 \subset K$, then any primitive χ has this property.

Proof. By Lemma 1, $w(E/K) = (-i)^{f_{K/\mathbb{Q}_2}(n(E)+2n(\psi))} w(V_\eta)$, so it remains to show that $w(V_\eta)$ is given by one of the two products of root numbers in the statement of the theorem. Note here that when $I = G = \mathrm{SL}_{24}$, both formulae are valid.

The first formula is covered by Lemma 8 and Lemma 9.

Now we prove the second formula for $G \neq \mathrm{GL}_{48}$. If $I = Q_8$ and $G \in \{Q_8, H_{16}\}$, this is Lemma 10. In the remaining cases $G = \mathrm{SL}_{24}$, so $\mu_3 \subset K$ and $K_1 = K(\Delta^{1/3})$ is abelian over K of degree 3. We know that the formula holds for E/K_1 by the $I = G = Q_8$ case. On the other hand, $2 \mid f_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$, $n(E/K) \equiv n(E/K_1) \pmod{2}$, and $w(E/K) = w(E/K_1)$ by a result of Kramer–Tunnell [6], proof of Prop. 3.4. $\square$

Lemma 8. *If $I = \mathrm{SL}_{24}$ and $\mu_3 \not\subset K$ (equivalently $G = \mathrm{GL}_{48}$), then*

$$w(V_\eta) = w_{L/M} / w_{K(x_3, y_3)/K(x_3)}.$$

Proof. From the character table of $G = \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{F}_3)$ (Table 1), V_η is either ρ'_4 or ρ''_4 , these two being the only two irreducible faithful representations of G of dimension 2. To write V_η as a combination of inductions of 1-dimensional characters, it is necessary to use a subgroup $C_8 \subset G$, because induced characters from all other subgroups do not distinguish between ρ'_4 and ρ''_4 . Consider the 2-Sylow subgroup of G

$$H_{16} = \mathrm{Gal}(L/K_1) \subset \mathrm{Gal}(L/K) = G.$$

It has 3 index 2 subgroups (C_8, D_8 and Q_8), that correspond to 3 quadratic extensions of K_1 , namely $K_1(\mu_3), K_2$ and M . Clearly $Q_8 \subset \mathrm{SL}_{24} \subset G$ corresponds to $K_1(\mu_3)$, and Kraus' formulae ([7] p. 373) show that $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K_2)$

does not act transitively on the roots of the 3-torsion polynomial, hence it is dihedral by Proposition 2. Thus $\text{Gal}(L/M) \cong C_8$.

order	1	2	2	3	4	6	8	8
#elts	1	1	12	8	6	8	6	6
ρ_1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
ρ_2	1	1	-1	1	1	1	-1	-1
ρ_3	2	2	0	-1	2	-1	0	0
ρ'_4	2	-2	0	-1	0	1	$\sqrt{-2}$	$-\sqrt{-2}$
ρ''_4	2	-2	0	-1	0	1	$-\sqrt{-2}$	$\sqrt{-2}$
ρ_5	3	3	1	0	-1	0	-1	-1
ρ_6	3	3	-1	0	-1	0	1	1
ρ_7	4	-4	0	1	0	-1	0	0

TABLE 1. Character table of $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{F}_3)$

If χ is a primitive character of C_8 , then $\text{Ind}_{C_8}^G \chi \cong \rho_4 \oplus \rho_7$ with $\rho_4 \in \{\rho'_4, \rho''_4\}$. Replacing χ by χ^{-1} if necessary (this changes the induction), assume that V_η is contained in $\text{Ind}_{C_8}^G \chi$.

If $B_{12} \subset G$ is the upper-triangular matrices, let $\det_{B_{12}}$ be the determinant character, and $\sigma_{B_{12}}$ the “top left corner” character, both of order 2. Let $\tau_{\text{SL}_{24}}$ be a non-trivial 1-dimensional character of $\text{SL}_{24} \subset G$. A computation with inductions shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Ind}_{C_8}^G(\chi \ominus \mathbf{1}_{C_8}) \oplus \text{Ind}_{B_{12}}^G(\det_{B_{12}} \ominus \sigma_{B_{12}}) \oplus \text{Ind}_{\text{SL}_{24}}^G(\tau_{\text{SL}_{24}} \ominus \mathbf{1}_{\text{SL}_{24}}) \cong \\ & \cong (V_\eta \oplus \rho_7 \ominus \rho_1 \ominus \rho_3 \ominus \rho_6) \oplus (\rho_2 \oplus \rho_6 \ominus \rho_7) \oplus (\rho_3 \ominus \rho_1 \ominus \rho_2) = V_\eta \ominus 2\rho_1, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$w(V_\eta) = \frac{w(\chi)}{1} \cdot \frac{w(\det_{B_{12}})}{w(\sigma_{B_{12}})} \cdot \frac{w(\tau_{\text{SL}_{24}})}{1}.$$

By definition, $w_{L/M} = w(\chi)$. Since $\text{SL}_{24} = \text{Gal}(L, K(\mu_3))$ and $K(\mu_3, \Delta^{1/3})/K$ is dihedral, $w(\tau_{\text{SL}_{24}}) = 1$ by Fröhlich-Queyrut’s Theorem ([3], Thm. 3 and proof of Lemma 1).

Now, $B_{12} = \text{Gal}(L, K(x_3))$ is the subgroup leaving one 3-torsion subgroup invariant, so $w(\sigma_{B_{12}}) = w_{K(x_3, y_3)/K(x_3)}$. Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} w(\det_{B_{12}}) &= (-1)^{n(\psi_{K(x_3)})} = (-1)^{v(\mathfrak{D}(K(x_3), \mathbb{Q}_2))} \\ &= (-1)^{v(\mathfrak{D}(K(x_3), K)) + [K(x_3):K]v(\mathfrak{D}(K, \mathbb{Q}_2))} = (-1)^{v(\mathfrak{D}(K(x_3), K))} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality uses that the parity of $v(\mathfrak{D}(K(x_3), K))$ is the same as that of the K -valuation of the discriminant of the 3-torsion polynomial $P(t)$, which defines $K(x_3)/K$; but $\Delta(P(t)) = -2^{12}3^3\Delta(E)^2$ has even valuation over any 2-adic field. $\square$

Lemma 9. *If $I = \text{SL}_{24}$ and $\mu_3 \subset K$ (so $G = \text{SL}_{24}$), then*

$$w(V_\eta) = w_{L/M}/w_{K(x_3, y_3)/K(x_3)}.$$

Proof. From the character table of $G = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{F}_3)$ (Table 2), we have $V_\eta \cong \rho_4$, since in the other two-dimensional irreducible representations ρ_5 and ρ_6 of G elements of order 3 do not have determinant 1 (look at the traces).

order	1	2	3	3	4	6	6
#elts	1	1	4	4	6	4	4
ρ_1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
ρ_2	1	1	ω^2	ω	1	ω	ω^2
ρ_3	1	1	ω	ω^2	1	ω^2	ω
ρ_4	2	-2	-1	-1	0	1	1
ρ_5	2	-2	$-\omega^2$	$-\omega$	0	ω	ω^2
ρ_6	2	-2	$-\omega$	$-\omega^2$	0	ω^2	ω
ρ_7	3	3	0	0	-1	0	0

TABLE 2. Character table of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{F}_3)$

Take $C_4 \subset Q_8 \triangleleft G$, and let χ be one of the two of its order 4 characters. Consider also a Borel subgroup C_6 of G and its character σ of order 2. Then one computes

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Ind}_{C_4}^G(\chi \otimes \mathbf{1}_{C_4}) \oplus \mathrm{Ind}_{C_6}^G(\mathbf{1}_{C_6} \otimes \sigma) \\
& \cong (\rho_4 \oplus \rho_5 \oplus \rho_6) \oplus (\rho_1 \oplus \rho_2 \oplus \rho_3 \oplus \rho_7) \oplus (\rho_1 \oplus \rho_7) \oplus (\rho_5 \oplus \rho_6) \\
& \cong V_\eta \oplus (\rho_2 \oplus \rho_3).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$w(V_\eta) = w(\chi)w(\sigma)^{-1}w(\rho_2 \oplus \rho_2^{-1}).$$

By the determinant formula, $w(\rho_2 \oplus \rho_2^{-1}) = \rho_2(-1) = 1$, as $\rho_2(-1)$ is a cube root of unity that squares to 1. Finally, note that

$$w(\chi) = w_{L/M} \quad \text{and} \quad w(\sigma) = w_{K(x_3, y_3)/K(x_3)}.$$

□

Lemma 10. *If $I = Q_8$ and $G \in \{Q_8, H_{16}\}$, then*

$$w(V_\eta) = w_{L/M} w_{M/K_1}.$$

Proof. If $G = H_{16}$ and χ is a primitive character of $\mathrm{Gal}(L/M) = C_8$, then $\mathrm{Ind}_{C_8}^G \chi$ is isomorphic either to $\rho'_4|_{H_{16}}$ or to $\rho''_4|_{H_{16}}$ from Table 1. As in the $G = \mathrm{GL}_{48}$ case, these are the only two faithful 2-dimensional irreducible representations of H_{16} , and we can choose χ with $\mathrm{Ind}_{C_8}^G \chi = V_\eta$. Now,

$$\frac{w(V_\eta)}{w(\mathrm{Ind}_{C_8}^G \mathbf{1}_{C_8})} = \frac{w(\chi)}{w(\mathbf{1}_{C_8})},$$

implying the asserted formula. The case $G = Q_8$ is analogous. □

6. DETERMINING WHICH CHARACTER TO TAKE

Assume that $G = H_{16}$ or $G = \text{GL}_{48}$, so $[G : I] = 2$. Then $\text{Gal}(L/M) \cong C_8$, which has 4 characters of order 8, say $\chi_1, \chi_1^3, \chi_1^{-3}, \chi_1^{-1}$. We have (cf. Table 1)

$$\text{Ind}_{C_8}^G \chi_1 = \text{Ind}_{C_8}^G \chi_1^3 = \rho'_4 \oplus \sigma, \quad \text{Ind}_{C_8}^G \chi_1^{-3} = \text{Ind}_{C_8}^G \chi_1^{-1} = \rho''_4 \oplus \sigma,$$

with $\sigma = 0$ for $G = H_{16}$ and $\sigma = \rho_7$ for $G = \text{GL}_{48}$. So two of the characters have the property that their induction contains V_η . It may in fact happen that $w(\chi^{-1}) = -w(\chi)$, so it is important that we choose the right character when computing the root number. Pick one of the 4 characters χ , and compose it with local reciprocity,

$$\chi_M = \chi \circ \theta_M : M^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^*.$$

Then we have the following criterion:

Proposition 11. *Let M'/M be a quartic totally ramified extension with $M' \subset L^{un}$, let $\pi_{M'}$ be its uniformiser, let $\pi_M = N_{M'/M} \pi_{M'}$ and define $\delta = \pm 1$ by*

$$\chi_M(\pi_M^{-1}) + \chi_M(\pi_M^{-1})^3 = \delta \sqrt{-2}.$$

E has good reduction over M' , and let $\tilde{E}/\mathbb{F}_q$ denote the reduced curve. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) $\text{Ind}_{C_8}^G \chi$ contains V_η .
- (2) The arithmetic Frobenius $\theta_M(\pi_M^{-1}) \in \text{Gal}(L^{un}/M')$ acts with trace $\delta \pmod{3}$ on $E[3]$.
- (3) $|\tilde{E}(\mathbb{F}_q)| = q + 1 - \delta(-2)^{(1+f_{K/\mathbb{Q}_2})/2}$.

Proof. Suppose $\text{Ind}_{C_8}^G \chi$ contains V_η , so $\text{Res}_{M/K} V_\eta = \chi \oplus \chi^3$. Then with $\chi' = \text{Res}_{M'/M} \chi$ and $\chi_{M'}$ for the corresponding character on M'^* ,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \sqrt{-2} &= \chi_M(\pi_M^{-1}) + \chi_M(\pi_M^{-1})^3 \\ &= \chi_{M'}(\pi_{M'}^{-1}) + \chi_{M'}(\pi_{M'}^{-1})^3 \\ &= \chi'(\text{Frob}_{M'}) + (\chi'(\text{Frob}_{M'}))^3 \\ &= \text{tr}(\text{Frob}_{M'} | \text{Res}_{M'/K}(V_\eta)) \\ &= (\text{Res}_{M'/K} \eta^{-1})(\text{Frob}_{M'}) \cdot \text{tr}(\text{Frob}_{M'} | \text{Res}_{M'/K} V) \\ &= a(\sqrt{-2})^{-f_{M'/\mathbb{Q}_2}} \\ &= a(\sqrt{-2})^{-f_{K/\mathbb{Q}_2}}. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, if $\text{Ind}_{C_8}^G \chi$ contains V_η , then $a = \delta(-2)^{(1+f_{K/\mathbb{Q}_2})/2}$. Otherwise this formula holds for χ^{-1} (for which δ changes sign), so (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3). $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Dokchitser, V. Dokchitser, Parity of ranks for elliptic curves with a cyclic isogeny, preprint May 2006, arxiv: math.NT/0604149.
- [2] T. Dokchitser, Ranks of elliptic curves in cubic extensions, to appear in Acta Arith.
- [3] A. Fröhlich, J. Queyruet, On the functional equation of the Artin L -function for characters of real representations, Invent. Math. 20 (1973), 125–138.

- [4] E. Halberstadt, Signes locaux des courbes elliptiques en 2 et 3, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Série I Math. 326 (1998), no. 9, 1047–1052.
- [5] S. Kobayashi, The local root number of elliptic curves with wild ramification, Math. Ann. 323 (2002), 609–623.
- [6] K. Kramer, J. Tunnell, Elliptic curves and local ϵ -factors, Compositio Math. 46 (1982), 307–352.
- [7] A. Kraus, Sur le défaut de semi-stabilité des courbes elliptiques à réduction additive, Manuscripta Math. 69 (1990), no. 4, 353–385.
- [8] D. Rohrlich, Elliptic curves and the Weil-Deligne group, in Elliptic curves and related topics, 125–157, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes 4, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.
- [9] D. Rohrlich, Galois Theory, elliptic curves, and root numbers, Compositio Math. 100 (1996), 311–349.
- [10] D. Rohrlich, Variation of the root number in families of elliptic curves, Compositio Math. 87 (1993), 119–151.
- [11] J.-P. Serre, J. Tate, Good reduction of abelian varieties, Annals of Math. 88 (1968), 492–517.
- [12] J. Tate, Number theoretic background, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics 33 (1979), Part 2, 3–26.
- [13] D. Whitehouse, Root numbers of elliptic curves over 2-adic fields, preprint.

ROBINSON COLLEGE, CAMBRIDGE CB3 9AN, UNITED KINGDOM

E-mail address: `t.dokchitser@dpms.cam.ac.uk`

GONVILLE & CAIUS COLLEGE, CAMBRIDGE CB2 1TA, UNITED KINGDOM

E-mail address: `v.dokchitser@dpms.cam.ac.uk`